el

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/772,470	LACOURSE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert R. Raevis	2856	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject t	plication. If not included n will be mailed in due course. THIS	ive
1. This communication is responsive to <u>11-18-05</u> .			
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 1,5-9,20,22-24 and 27-29.			
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received:	been received. been received in Application No		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file a reply ENT of this application.	complying with the requirements	
 A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submi INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 	itted. Note the attached EXAMINER es reason(s) why the oath or declara	'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF ation is deficient.	
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the deposit of the deposit o	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- s Amendment / Comment or in the 0 84(c)) should be written on the drawine header according to 37 CFR 1.121(sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL I	Office action of ngs in the front (not the back) of (d). must be submitted. Note the	
Attachment(s) 1. ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Patent Application (PTO-152)	
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da		
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No./Mail Date 11-18-05 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	8), 7. Examiner's Amendi	ment/Comment ent of Reasons for Allowance	
		RAEVIS AU2856	

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Neudorfl et al employ Teflon filter for collecting cocaine vapor, and use of heat and vacuum to draw the collected material from the filter to an analyzer. However, the filter is not described as not permitting for bulk flow of "liquids" (Applicant's claims 1,20).

Rio et al teach that PTFE provides a filter that is gas permeable and impermeable to liquid (col. 3, lines 60-65).

Danylewych-May et al teach a sampler including: filter that employs suction to traps sample particles, with subsequent heat usage (col. 2, lines 20-30); but does not describe the material of construction of the filter.

Kishima et al teaches (col. 27, lines 20-25) that PTFE filters are liquid repellant in nature.

As to claims 1 and 20, note was made of the "does not permit bulk flow of liquids and solids" limitation with remaining limitations of each of the two claims. Note was made of the arguments on p. 12(top paragraph) and p. 12, lines 4-6 from last.

RAZUIJ